JANUARY 2008

 Sun
 Mon
 Tue
 Wed
 Thu
 Fri
 Sat

 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12

 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19

 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26

 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 U
 U

HEALTHCARE LINKS

Complementary And Alternative Medicine Law Blog

RELATED LINKS

About Holland & Hart LLP

Holland & Hart Healthcare Practice

CATEGORIES

Aging

<u>AIDS</u>

<u>Antitrust</u>

Biotech

Cases

Constitution

Crime

Data Bank

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

FDA

Fraud & Abuse

Genetics

<u>Governance</u>

Health Policy

HIPAA/Privacy

Home Health

<u>« Justice Department Issue Special Report On Insurance Claims In Seven States. | Main | California Court Hold State Antitrust Immunity For PPOs Valid. »</u>

April 10, 2007

No Hearing, No Immunity in Peer Review Rules Iowa Federal Court.

There is a curious set of facts in the case of *Estate of Horst G. Blume and Headache & Pain Control Center, P.C., v. Marion Health Center and its successor in interest, Mercy Medical Center-Sioux City,* Case No. 03 CV 4117 filed in the United States District Court For the Northern District of Iowa, Western Division on March 14, 2007. The case arose out of the summary suspension of Dr. Blume from practice on December 2, 1998 apparently based upon some incident reports. The federal district court held that the hospital failed to provide Dr. Blume with a hearing on his suspension and therefor failed to qualify for the statutory requirements for immunity under the *Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986* ("*HCQIA*"). The circumstances surrounding the failure to provide a hearing are unusual.

Dr. Blume requested a hearing after he received notice from the hospital of his suspension. There then resulted in a lot of procedural delays. Dr. Blume's attorney was barred from appearing at a hearing at the hospital. The hospital "unreasonably delayed" turning over the incident reports that were the basis for the suspension to Dr. Blume and his attorney arguing that his discovery request was "overbroad." There was correspondence between the hospital and Dr. Blume in which the hospital repeatedly asked when Dr. Blume wanted his hearing, but apparently made no attempt to set it over time.

The court repeatedly indicated that Dr. Blume was only required to ask for the hearing once. There is also a fuzzy reference to the fact that the court excluded damages to Dr. Blume for the period in which he had obtained an injunction



Subscribe to this blog's feed

GREG PICHE'

Mr. Greg Piche' is an attorney at Holland & Hart LLP where he specializes in healthcare law.

Mr. Piche's representation includes compliance counseling for HIPAA, Stark law, Anti-kickback Statute, CMP and "fraud and abuse" defense, healthcare criminal defense, joint ventures, anti-trust, and professional license disputes, just to name a few.

For more information about Greg Piche', please <u>click here</u>.

* Email the author

DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this blog is provided for informational purposes only. It is not legal advice and should not be construed as providing legal advice on any subject matter.

prohibiting a hearing against him in Woodberry County. Dr. **Insurance** Blume filed suit against the hospital on December 2, 2003 for Interference anti-trust, violation of due process, interference and other Joint Venture torts and all were dismissed except a breach of contract claim. The court held on summary judgment motion for Dr. Labor Blume that under Iowa law the medical staff bylaws right to **Malpractice** a hearing and other procedures was a contract and that the Managed Care hospital breached the same by not providing Dr. Blume with **Medical Records** a hearing. A jury later found damages in the amount of \$146,025.00 which the trial court upheld following post Medical Staff trial motions. Medicare/Medicaid I guess the moral of the case is that if a suspended physician **Nursing** timely requests a hearing to which he is entitled under the **Nursing Home** medical staff bylaws, make sure he gets that hearing, even if Peer Review he fails to respond to requests as to when he wants it. **Pharmacy** April 10, 2007 in Peer Review | Permalink **Physicians Products** Comments Research Rural Health Post a comment **Science** Comments are moderated, and will not appear on this **Specialty Hospitals** weblog until the author has approved them. Stark If you have a TypeKey or TypePad account, please Sign In <u>Tax</u> Name: **Torts** Email Address: (Not displayed with comment.) URL: Remember personal info? Comments: Preview Post